

1   **Q.   PLEASE STATE FOR THE RECORD YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS**  
2       **AND OCCUPATION?**

3   A.   My name is Roy H. Barnette. My business address is 101  
4       Executive Center Drive, Columbia, South Carolina. I am  
5       employed by the Public Service Commission of South  
6       Carolina as an Auditor.

7   **Q.   PLEASE STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE?**

8   A.   Following a six year enlistment in the United States  
9       Marine Corps, I received a B. S. Degree in Business  
10      Administration with a major in Accounting from the  
11      University of South Carolina in 1968. From 1968 to 1971  
12      I was employed with S. D. Leidesdorf and Company, a  
13      national CPA firm in Charlotte, North Carolina. In 1972  
14      I entered the private business sector. My most recent  
15      position was with Bagnal Builders Supply Co. Inc., here  
16      in Columbia, where I served as Senior Vice President and  
17      Chief Financial Officer from 1972 until September 1999  
18      when I joined the Audit Staff of this Commission.

19   **Q.   WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY INVOLVING PIEDMONT**  
20       **NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC?**

21   A.   The purpose of my testimony is to present the Audit  
22       Staff's findings and recommendations resulting from our

1 review of the Company's deferred cost of gas account  
2 #253.04.

3 **Q. IN CONNECTION WITH YOUR TESTIMONY, DID YOU PREPARE OR**  
4 **CAUSE TO BE PREPARED ANY EXHIBITS?**

5 A. Yes, the Audit Staff has prepared Audit Exhibits A, A-1  
6 and A-2 in connection with this testimony.

7 **Q. ON WHAT AUTHORITY DOES THE STAFF MONITOR THE ACTIVITY IN**  
8 **PIEDMONT'S DEFERRED COST OF GAS ACCOUNT #253.04?**

9 A. In Docket No. 83-126-G and 86-217-G, Order No. 88-294,  
10 the Commission found that:

11 (1) A true-up for differences between billed and filed  
12 rates is appropriate and necessary to assure that  
13 Piedmont's customers pay no more than Piedmont's  
14 actual cost of gas.

15 (2) A true-up of demand charges for changes in sales  
16 volumes is appropriate and necessary to assure that  
17 Piedmont's customers pay no more than Piedmont's  
18 actual cost of gas.

19 (3) The Company is to maintain an account reflecting  
20 its gas costs each month, the amount of gas costs  
21 recovered each month, and amounts deferred from  
22 month to month. The Company was also required to

1 file with the Commission a report on a monthly  
2 basis showing the status of this account.

3 (4) Additionally, with the issuance of Order No. 2002-  
4 223 dated March 26, 2002 in Docket No. 2001-410-G,  
5 the Company was required to file regular reports on  
6 the status of the hedging program and the results  
7 of its hedging activities.

8 **Q. HAS STAFF CONDUCTED THE COMMISSION REQUIRED AUDIT OF**  
9 **THE COMPANY'S DEFERRED COST OF GAS?**

10 A. Yes. The Audit Staff has reviewed the monthly filings  
11 made by the Company and the activity included in  
12 Deferred Cost of Gas account #253.04 and Account #  
13 191.01 (Deferred Account-Hedging Program) for the period  
14 April 2003 through March 2004 as summarized on Audit  
15 Exhibits A, A-1 and A-2.

16 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE FORMAT USED IN AUDIT EXHIBIT A.**

17 A. Audit Exhibit A's format is as follows:

18 **Billed vs. Filed Rates**- These amounts represent the  
19 difference in the Company's actual gas costs on a  
20 monthly basis as compared to the benchmark cost of gas  
21 included in the Company's most recent GCRM (Gas Cost  
22 Recovery Mechanism) on file with the Commission.  
23 Effective April 1, 2003, the Company filed GCRM #107

1 with the Commission which increased the benchmark cost  
2 of gas from \$5.25 to \$6.75, or a commodity increase of  
3 \$1.50 per dekatherm. Effective November 1, 2003, the  
4 Company filed GCRM #108 with the Commission which  
5 decreased the benchmark from \$6.75 to \$5.75, or a  
6 commodity decrease of \$1.00 per dekatherm. On a total  
7 company basis, actual gas costs are computed and  
8 compared to the benchmark cost and any difference is  
9 allocated to South Carolina based on the current month's  
10 sales percentage. Billed vs. Filed rates for the period  
11 under review total (\$7,296,699).

12 **Demand True-up** - These amounts represent the over or  
13 under-collection of Demand Charges incurred by the  
14 Company as compared to Demand Charges billed and  
15 collected monthly from customers. Total Demand Charges  
16 incurred are computed monthly and allocated to South  
17 Carolina based on Design Day Percentage as approved by  
18 the Commission in Order No. 2002-761 dated November 1,  
19 2002, approving new rates and charges issued in Docket  
20 No. 2002-63-G. Effective October 2003, the Design Day  
21 Factor for demand allocation to South Carolina changed  
22 from 22.3% to 15.81% due to the acquisition/merger of  
23 North Carolina Natural Gas Co. Permission was granted by

1 the Commission to account for the change in Docket No.  
2 2003-251-G, Order No. 2003-588 (Order granting interim  
3 accounting treatment) dated October 1, 2003, even though  
4 the methodology for allocation of Demand Costs remains  
5 the same as approved in Order No. 2002-761. Effective  
6 November 2002, a comparison is made to the demand  
7 component included in rates approved by the Commission  
8 in Order No. 2002-761 dated November 1, 2002 issued in  
9 Docket No. 2002-63-G. Total Demand True-up for the  
10 twelve months ended March 31, 2004, represents an over-  
11 collection of (\$1,745,140). It should be noted that in  
12 compliance with Docket No. 95-160-G, Order No. 95-1641  
13 dated August 22, 1995, the Company is reporting Capacity  
14 Release activity as required by the Commission. These  
15 Capacity Release Credits totaled (\$2,708,380), as  
16 adjusted by Staff for the review period as shown in  
17 Footnote (1) to Audit Exhibit A.

18 **Other PGA Items** - Other PGA items consist of an annual  
19 "Unaccounted For" True-up, proration adjustments due to  
20 cycle billing related to the commodity rate changes at  
21 April 1, 2003, and November 1, 2003, and staff  
22 adjustments for the prior review period. The April 2003  
23 and November 2003 amounts of (\$683,489) and \$111,595,

1        respectively, represent proration adjustments for a rate  
2        change due to cycle billings. The May 2003 debit of  
3        \$48,915 represents staff adjustments for an  
4        "Unaccounted For" True-up adjustment of \$9,277 and a  
5        proration adjustment of \$39,638 related to a prior  
6        review period. The September 2003 adjustment of \$97,790  
7        represents the annual "Unaccounted For" True-up. Other  
8        PGA Items total (\$425,189).

9        **Negotiated Losses** - In competition with alternate fuels,  
10       the Company's GCRM (Gas Cost Recovery Mechanism) allows  
11       it to maintain its industrial load by selling gas at  
12       less than the approved tariff resulting in margin  
13       losses. These Negotiated Losses for the twelve months  
14       ended March 31, 2004 totaled \$3,131,538.

15       **Hedging Activity Transfer** - In November 2004, the  
16       Company transferred to Deferred Cost of Gas account #  
17       253.04 a balance of (\$875,471) plus Interest of (\$3,371)  
18       from account # 191.01 Deferred Account - Hedging  
19       Program. This amount represents the Hedging Activity for  
20       the 12 months ended March 31, 2003, which was approved  
21       by the Commission in Docket No. 2003-4-G, Order No.  
22       2003-556 dated September 15, 2003.

1        **Shared Margin** - Effective with new rates approved in  
2        Docket No. 2002-63-G, Order No. 2002-761 dated November  
3        1, 2002, the Company is now including 75% of the margin  
4        from off-system sales reduced by 25% of capacity release  
5        transactions subject to the sharing mechanism set forth  
6        in Order No. 2002-761. This net amount is credited to  
7        the deferred cost of gas account # 253.04 which results  
8        in the Company retaining 25% of the margin from Off-  
9        system Sales and 25% of Capacity Release. Order No.  
10       2002-761 also provided that capacity release credits and  
11       off-system sales would be allocated to South Carolina  
12       using the same design day methodology as approved for  
13       fixed demand costs. Total shared margins for the review  
14       period were (\$662,543).

15       **Accrued Interest** - The Company booked interest expense  
16       at the rate of 9.25% on the average outstanding balance  
17       for the review period. In Docket No. 98-004-G, Order No.  
18       98-618 dated August 11, 1998, the Commission ruled that  
19       the actual earned overall rate of return should be  
20       utilized in computing Interest on the deferred account  
21       balance. In Docket No. 2000-004-G, Order No. 2000-707  
22       dated August 25, 2000, the Commission found that

1 interest on the deferred account be limited to the lower  
2 of the authorized overall rate of return or the actual  
3 earned overall return. However, the Company cannot  
4 compute the actual rate of return until such return is  
5 known for the review period. Since Interest is accrued  
6 monthly, an annual adjustment is required to restate the  
7 accruals at the lower of the actual earned overall rate  
8 of return or the approved overall rate of return.  
9 The interest credit amount shown for June 2003 of  
10 (\$48,408) is the result of a staff prior period  
11 adjustment of (\$70,853) to restate the accruals at the  
12 earned overall rate of return for the prior review  
13 period netted against the current month's accrual. Staff  
14 has also restated the monthly deferred account balances  
15 to include this adjustment for the prior review period.  
16 For the twelve months ended March 31, 2004, the earned  
17 overall Rate of Return of 9.25% was the same as the  
18 booked Rate. This resulted in a decrease to booked  
19 interest of (\$78,393) including Staff adjustments.  
20 Interest charges totaled \$179,871 for the current review  
21 period.

22 Weather Normalization - The Company began charging  
23 Account #253.04 for Weather Normalization effective for



1 the winter heating season of November 1, 1996 through  
2 March 31, 1997. Prior to that time, the Company had  
3 maintained a separate balance for Weather Normalization  
4 in Account #253.09. Weather Normalization is a debit  
5 for the current review period of \$1,109,866 resulting  
6 from warmer than normal weather for the 2003-2004  
7 heating season.

8 **Q. WHAT IS INCLUDED ON AUDIT EXHIBIT A-1?**

9 **A.** Audit Exhibit A-1 contains the detail of the Company's  
10 underground storage and Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) for  
11 the period under review. The Company maintains three  
12 separate storage facilities on the Transco System which  
13 are detailed as follows: General Storage Service (GSS)  
14 located in Pennsylvania, Washington Storage Service  
15 (WSS) located in Louisiana and Eminence Storage Service  
16 (ESS) located in Mississippi. Exhibit A-1, Page 1 of 7  
17 details GSS storage inventory for the review period. The  
18 beginning balance for the review period was 412,199  
19 dekatherms at a total cost of \$2,052,831, or a weighted  
20 average cost of \$4.9802 per dekatherm. Total injections  
21 were 5,199,604 dekatherms, including 82,248 dekatherms  
22 transferred from North Carolina Natural Gas Co., at a  
23 total cost of \$27,814,562, or a weighted average cost of

1       \$5.3494 per dekatherm. Withdrawals totaled 4,756,662  
2       dekatherms at a total cost of \$26,460,281, or a weighted  
3       average cost of \$5.5258 per dekatherm. The balance in  
4       GSS Storage at the end of the review period totaled  
5       668,252 dekatherms at a total cost of \$3,739,501, or a  
6       weighted average cost of \$5.5959 per dekatherm.

7       Exhibit A-1, Page 2 of 7 details WSS inventory for the  
8       review period. The beginning balance for the review  
9       period was 196,020 dekatherms at a total cost of  
10      \$834,578, or a weighted average cost of \$4.2576 per  
11      dekatherm. Total injections were 10,218,257 dekatherms,  
12      including 2,235,348 dekatherms transferred from North  
13      Carolina Natural Gas Co., at a total cost of  
14      \$51,146,432, or a weighted average cost of \$5.0054 per  
15      dekatherm. Withdrawals totaled 6,366,742 dekatherms at a  
16      total cost of \$31,903,369, or a weighted average cost of  
17      \$5.0049 per dekatherm. The balance in WSS at the end of  
18      the review period totaled 3,979,284 dekatherms at a  
19      total cost of \$20,164,160, or a weighted average cost of  
20      \$5.0673 per dekatherm.

21      Exhibit A-1, Page 3 of 7 details ESS inventory for the  
22      review period. The beginning balance for the review  
23      period was 381,848 dekatherms at a total cost of

1       \$755,627, or a weighted average cost of \$1.9789 per  
2       dekatherm. Total injections were 316,914 dekatherms at a  
3       total cost of \$634,468, representing the  
4       acquisition/merger of North Carolina Natural Gas Co.  
5       There were no withdrawals. The balance in ESS Storage at  
6       the end of the review period totaled 698,762 dekatherms  
7       at a total cost of \$1,390,095, or a weighted average  
8       cost of \$1.9894 per dekatherm.

9       Exhibit A-1, Page 4 of 7 details Columbia Gas Storage  
10      inventory for the review period. Columbia Gas Storage is  
11      a Firm Storage Service (FSS) located in the  
12      Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia area provided by  
13      Columbia Gas Transmission Company, an interstate  
14      pipeline. The beginning balance for the review period  
15      was 685,704 dekatherms at a total cost of \$2,547,710, or  
16      a weighted average cost of \$3.7155 per dakatherm. Total  
17      injections were 4,460,140 dekatherms, including 223,238  
18      dekatherms transferred from North Carolina Natural Gas  
19      Co., at a total cost of \$24,684,139, or a weighted  
20      average cost of \$5.5344 per dekatherm. Withdrawals  
21      totaled 4,315,718 dekatherms at a total cost of  
22      \$23,026,297, or a weighted average cost of \$5.3354 per  
23      dekatherm. The balance in Columbia Gas Storage at the

1 end of the review period totaled 830,126 dekatherms at a  
2 total cost of \$4,393,994, or a weighted average cost of  
3 \$5.2932 per dekatherm.

4 Exhibit A-1, Page 5 of 7 details the Hattiesburg  
5 storage inventory located in Mississippi, for the review  
6 period. This inventory is maintained on a combined basis  
7 with Piedmont's Tennessee operations. The inventory is  
8 allocated 50%-50% between Piedmont's N.C./S.C.  
9 operations and Piedmont's Tennessee operations. However,  
10 Cost of Gas is accounted for separately among  
11 jurisdictions. The beginning balance for the review  
12 period was 192,535 dekatherms at a total cost of  
13 \$1,379,177, or a weighted average cost of \$7.1633 per  
14 dekatherm. Total injections were 815,202 dekatherms at a  
15 total cost of \$4,282,468, or a weighted average cost of  
16 \$5.2533 per dekatherm. Withdrawals totaled 677,323  
17 dekatherms at a total cost of \$3,783,867, or a weighted  
18 average cost of \$5.5865 per dekatherm. The balance in  
19 Hattiesburg inventory at the end of the review period  
20 totaled 327,342 dekatherms at a total cost of  
21 \$1,892,811, or a weighted average of \$5.7824 per  
22 dekatherm.

1 Exhibit A-1, Page 6 of 7 details activity from  
2 Piedmont's LNG facility located near Charlotte, North  
3 Carolina, for the review period. The beginning balance  
4 for the review period was 265,866 dekatherms at a total  
5 cost of \$1,241,324, or a weighted average cost of  
6 \$4.6690 per dekatherm. Total injections were 850,361  
7 dekatherms at a total cost of \$4,507,464, or a weighted  
8 average cost of \$5.3006 per dekatherm. Withdrawals  
9 totaled 280,981 dekatherms at a total cost of  
10 \$1,585,426, or a weighted average cost of \$5.6425 per  
11 dekatherm. The balance in LNG at the end of the review  
12 period totaled 835,246 dekatherms at a total cost of  
13 \$4,906,953, or a weighted average cost of \$5.8749 per  
14 dekatherm.

15 Exhibit A-1, Page 7 of 7 details the activity from the  
16 Pine Needle LNG Facility for the review period. Pine  
17 Needle is an LNG Facility located in Guilford County,  
18 North Carolina and is jointly owned by Piedmont, Transco  
19 and several other utilities/investors. Piedmont's  
20 ownership portion is 35%. The beginning balance for the  
21 review period was 328,900 dekatherms at a total cost of  
22 \$2,028,414 or a weighted average cost of \$6.1673 per  
23 dekatherm. Total injections were 2,817,878 dekatherms,

1 including 412,731 dekatherms transferred from Morth  
2 Carolina Natural Gas Co., at a total cost of  
3 \$14,900,894, or a weighted average injection cost of  
4 \$5.2880 per dekatherm. Withdrawals totaled 2,368,045  
5 dekatherms at a total cost of \$13,038,854, or a weighted  
6 average cost of \$5.5044 per dekatherm. The balance in  
7 the Pine Needle LNG inventory at the end of the review  
8 period totaled 714,127 dekatherms at a total cost of  
9 \$3,894,734, or a weighted average cost of \$5.4538 per  
10 dekatherm.

11 **Q. WHAT IS INCLUDED IN AUDIT EXHIBIT A-2, RISK MANAGEMENT-**  
12 **HEDGING PROGRAM?**

13 A. Audit Exhibit A-2 includes results of the Company's  
14 Hedging Program for the review period. The Commission  
15 approved an experimental Natural Gas Hedging Program in  
16 Docket No. 2001-410-G, Order No. 2002-223 dated March  
17 26, 2002. The Hedging Plan was filed by the Company in  
18 response to Commission Order No. 2001-886 dated August  
19 30, 2001, issued in Docket No. 2001-4-G, which directed  
20 the Company to file a Hedging Program for Commission  
21 approval within 60 days.

22 As can be seen on Page 7 of the exhibit, the total Risk  
23 Management Hedging (Gain)/Loss for the review period is

1 an increase to Cost of Gas of \$412,335. Also shown on  
2 Page 7, the actual (Gain)/Loss from transactions was  
3 \$422,590. Also included are the costs to administer the  
4 program such as consultant fees, interest  
5 charges/credits, etc. For the Cost of Gas months of  
6 April 2003 through March 2004, shown on the exhibit are  
7 the number of Call Options bought and/or Put Options  
8 sold by month at 10,000 dekatherms per contract and the  
9 monthly (gain)/loss resulting from the purchase and/or  
10 sale of such options. A call option is defined in the  
11 Company's Plan as "an option that gives the buyer the  
12 right but not the obligation to buy at a predetermined  
13 strike price". A Put Option is defined in the Company's  
14 plan as "an option that gives the buyer the right but  
15 not the obligation to sell at a predetermined strike  
16 price". Also shown is the number of options either  
17 exercised or expired. For the review period, the Company  
18 sold 217 Put Options, in some instances in combination  
19 with the purchase of Call Options. Since the Company  
20 receives proceeds from the sale of Put Options, this  
21 partially offsets the cost of the Call Options. Also,  
22 for the Cost of Gas months of April - June 2003 and  
23 March 2004, the Company exercised option contracts for

1 the purchase and sale of 73 gas futures contracts at a  
2 net gain of (\$397,890). Beginning in June 2003, the  
3 Company purchased option contracts for the Cost of Gas  
4 months of November 2003 through March 2004. By the end  
5 of the review period in March 2004, the Company had  
6 purchased option contracts through the Cost of Gas  
7 months of October 2004. For the months of April -  
8 October 2003, the hedgable volume for the review period  
9 was established in the "Hedge Strategy Development"  
10 portion of the Hedging Plan developed by Risk  
11 Management, Inc. and approved by the Commission in  
12 Docket No. 2001-410-G. The volume was based on  
13 normalized purchases calculated from budgeted sales  
14 amounts for South Carolina. Beginning in November 2003,  
15 the Company computed hedgable volume based on normalized  
16 sales from the Company's latest rate case, Docket No.  
17 2002-63-G. For the review period the Company hedged 50%  
18 of its authorized hedgable volume. The Company was  
19 authorized to hedge up to a maximum of 60% of Natural  
20 Gas Supplies according to the Hedging Plan as approved  
21 by the Commission in the above referenced order.

22 Also included on Audit Exhibit A-2 is monthly interest  
23 amounts calculated on the approved overall rate of



1 return of 10.39% in Docket No. 2002-63-G. This rate is  
2 in compliance with the "Operations Manual" portion of  
3 the Hedging Plan developed by Risk Management, Inc. and  
4 approved by the Commission in Docket No. 2001-410-G,  
5 which states "The approved cost of capital will be  
6 applied to funds (positive or negative) placed in  
7 trading accounts monthly".

8 **Q. WHAT ARE STAFF'S FINDINGS WITH RESPECT TO ACCOUNT**  
9 **#253.04?**

10 A. Staff analyzed the balance of \$(1,400,529) included in  
11 Account #253.04 at March 31, 2004, and has adjusted that  
12 amount as follows:

13 **(1)** Staff adjusted June 2003 Accrued Interest to  
14 include an interest adjustment recommended by Staff  
15 in Docket No. 2003-4-G of (\$70,853) to reflect the  
16 Actual Earned Overall Rate of Return of 7.29%, for  
17 the twelve (12) months ended March 31, 2003.

18 **(2)** Staff adjusted "Other PGA Item" to adjust Proration  
19 Adjustments by a credit of (\$4,150) to reflect the  
20 proper South Carolina sales Allocation Factor for  
21 the month of November 2003.

22 **(3)** Staff adjusted the Billed/Filed calculation by a  
23 credit of (\$40,190) to reflect a correction in the

1 billed rate for certain rate schedules for the  
2 month of December 2003.

3 (4) Staff adjusted the Shared Margin calculation by a  
4 credit of (\$112,417) to reflect a correction in the  
5 amount of Shared Margin charged to account # 253.04  
6 from off-system sales and Capacity Release for the  
7 month of February 2004. Staff also proposes to  
8 adjust total Company Capacity Release by \$76,606  
9 which reduces South Carolina allocated Shared  
10 Margin by \$3,028. Staff's total adjustment to  
11 Shared Margin for February 2004 is (\$109,389).

12 (5) Staff adjusted Shared Margin by \$8,510 to reflect a  
13 reduction in the amount of Shared Margin from off-  
14 system sales for the months of November 2003 and  
15 March 2004.

16 (6) Normally, Staff would adjust Interest for the  
17 current review period to the lower of the booked  
18 overall Rate of Return or the Actual Earned overall  
19 Rate of Return. However, the booked and earned  
20 returns of 9.25% were the same for the 12 months  
21 ended March 31, 2004. However, including Staff's  
22 adjustment to Interest in June 2003 (Adj. #1) and  
23 including the effects of all other Staff

1 adjustments results in an additional reduction to  
2 Interest of (\$7,540). Total interest adjustments by  
3 Staff is a credit of (\$78,393)

4 The net effect of the above adjustments is an increase  
5 to the over-collection at March 31, 2004 of (\$223,612).

6 It is Staff's opinion that the adjusted balance at March  
7 31, 2004 of (\$1,624,141), before including the net  
8 hedging activity, fairly represents the over-collection  
9 by the Company and that the amount is accurately stated  
10 and in compliance with Commission Order No. 88-294.  
11 After including the net effect of Hedging Activity for  
12 the current review period, as shown on Audit Exhibit A-  
13 2, Staff has computed the Net Over-collection to be  
14 (\$1,211,806).

15 **Q. DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?**

16 **A.** Yes, it does.